

NA (12)
LEVEL III

AD-E430257

DA 071460

MEMORANDUM REPORT ARBRL-MR-02917

DEBRIS HAZARD FROM BLAST LOADED
PLYWOOD SHEET CLOSURES

George A. Coulter


May 1979

DDC FILE COPY



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



70 6 07 001

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.
Do not return it to the originator.

Secondary distribution of this report by originating
or sponsoring activity is prohibited.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained
from the National Technical Information Service,
U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia
22151.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as
an official Department of the Army position, unless
so designated by other authorized documents.

*The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report
does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.*

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER MEMORANDUM REPORT ARBRL-MR-02917	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) DEBRIS HAZARD FROM BLAST LOADED PLYWOOD SHEET CLOSURES		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) George A. Coulter		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory (ATTN: DRDAR-BLT) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Project Order No. DCPA 01-77-C-0193, Work Unit 1123-C
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Armament Research and Development Command US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory (ATTN: DRDAR-BL) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		12. REPORT DATE MAY 1979
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Defense Civil Preparedness Agency Washington, D.C. 20301		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 67
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Basement Shelter Deflection Velocities Blast closure Failure mode Blast Loading Plywood closures Debris		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (mba) Experimental results are presented from the blast loading of plywood sheet closures suitable for basement shelters. Pressure-time histories of the blast loading are shown and the panel deflection that occurs as result of the loading forces. High speed photographs illustrate the panel breakout and the debris hazard it creates.		

SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION

The work reported here is a part of a study funded by the Defense Civil Preparedness Agency under Project No. DCPA 01-77-C-0193, Work Unit 1123-C, entitled "Blast Loading in Existing Structures."

An experiment is described in which blast waves are used to load plywood sheet closures to failure.

II. EXPERIMENT

Panels of sheet plywood ranging in thickness from 1.27 cm to 2.54 cm were exposed face-on to the shock wave at the end of the Ballistic Research Laboratory 57.5 cm I.D. shock tube. Pressure-time histories of the loading and panel deflections-time histories were measured for the different panels. All panels were supported freely at four sides for these tests.

High speed photographs were taken of the panels yielding under the blast loading illustrating the potential debris hazard after the panel break-out occurs.

III. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Records of input shock wave loading and panel deflection histories are presented in the body of this report.

Panel deflection frequencies and amplitudes are presented for the variation in panel thickness and as a function of the loading force. Average deflection velocities for the panels from beginning of loading cycle to the maximum deflection were calculated as a function of the panel type. The ultimate strength under the blast load (load to break-through of panels) was found to be about eight times the calculated allowable static load values.

Accession For	
NTIS G.A&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Announcement	<input type="checkbox"/>
By	
Permitted by	
Availability Codes	
Dist.	Avail and/or special
A	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	7
LIST OF TABLES	9
I. INTRODUCTION	11
II. EXPERIMENT	11
A. Test Setup	11
B. Instrumentation	14
III. RESULTS	14
A. Pressure and Deflection Traces	14
B. High Speed Photographs	23
IV. ANALYSIS	30
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	32
APPENDIX - PRESSURE-TIME AND DEFLECTION TRACES	37
LIST OF SYMBOLS	61
DISTRIBUTION LIST	63

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
1. Test Setup	12
2. Panel Holder	13
3. Pressure-Time Loading Records	15
4. Deflection-Time Records	16
5. 1.27cm A-D Interior Plywood - Loading Pressure 93.8 kPa (13.6 psi)	17
6. 1.27cm A-D Interior Plywood - Loading Pressure 84.1 kPa (12.2 psi)	18
7. 1.59cm B-B Class 1 Exterior Plywood - Loading Pressure 170.3 kPa (24.7 psi)	19
8. 1.90 cm A-C Exterior Plywood - Loading Pressure 109.6 kPa (15.9 psi)	20
9. 1.90 cm A-C Exterior Plywood - Loading Pressure 122.4 kPa (17.7 psi)	21
10. 1.90 cm A-C Exterior Plywood - Loading Pressure 152.4 kPa (22.1 psi)	22
11. High Speed Photographs - Shot 24-78-26	25
12. High Speed Photographs - Shot 24-78-27	27
A-1. Records for 1.27 cm Panels	39
A-2. Records for 1.59 cm Panels	43
A-3. Records for 1.90 cm Panels	50
A-4. Records for 2.54 cm Panels	54

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
I. Loading Data	24
II. Average Panel Deflection Velocity to Reach First Maximum	29
III. Properties for Plywood with Predicted Values of Ultimate Yield for Applied Blast Loads	33

I. INTRODUCTION

The Defense Civil Preparedness Agency (DCPA) has sponsored the present work at the Ballistic Research Laboratory (BRL) under Project Order No. DCPA 01-77-C-0193, Work Unit 1123-C entitled "Blast Loading in Existing Shelter Structures." The purpose of the present work is twofold. The first is to determine the break-out blast load of plywood sheets in order to make effective basement shelter window closures. The second purpose is to determine representative displacement velocities of the plywood panels as they are deflected by the blast wave loads.

The test procedure chosen was to expose an assortment of plywood sheets at the end of one of the Ballistic Research Laboratory shock tubes. The pressure of the incoming shock wave was to be measured to determine the reflected load at the test panel. The panel deflection under the blast load and the possible break-out were to be observed during the loading process. Section II describes the test setup and instrumentation used to do this.

II. EXPERIMENT

This section describes the test fixture to hold the plywood panels and the recording instrumentation used.

A. Test Setup

A test fixture was built for the plywood panels to hold them at the end of the BRL 57.5 cm diameter shock tube. Figure 1 shows the test fixture with a panel in place. Details are shown in the sketch of Figure 2.

Each 47 cm square panel was simply supported on all four edges with an overlap of 3.81 cm all around as suggested in Reference 1. Two small finishing nails (1.44mm dia x 38.1mm long - 4d) were driven into the bottom of the panels to act as standoffs (1.27 cm) to maintain the correct vertical overlap needed. Rubber bands were affixed to the outside (downstream) face of the panels to assure that each test panel was tight against the backup flange.

The test setup was completed with a high speed camera, pressure transducer, and deflection follower. These are described in Section B below.

¹H. L. Murphy, "Upgrading Basements for Combined Nuclear Weapon Effects: Predesigned Expedient Options," Technical Report SRI Project 5622, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, CA 94025, October 1977.




Figure 1. Test Setup




Figure 2. Panel Holder

B. Instrumentation

The pressure recording consisted of a PCB Model 113M28 quartz transducer with a built-in voltage amplifier. The signal from the transducer was recorded by an FM Honeywell 7600 tape recorder. A quick look oscillograph playback was available at the test site. The analog data was then converted to digital, and plotted with engineering units.

The displacement data was acquired with an OPTRON Model 501 Electro-Optical Displacement Follower². A target discontinuity (a black balsa wood tab glued to the center of the panel) was tracked optically in the intensity of light reflected or emitted from the target surface. The optical target image was converted to an electron image in which the electronic density was proportional to the corresponding light intensity. Again, the electrical signal was recorded by the 7600 FM tape machine. The data reduction was the same as that for the pressure records.

A high speed camera (Red Lake Hycam) running at 5000 pps completed the instrumentation.

III. RESULTS

The results are presented in two groups: (a) the pressure and deflection traces, and (b) the high speed photographs.

A. Pressure and Deflection Traces

A typical series of loading and deflection records are shown in Figures 3 and 4 for the 1.59 cm plywood sheet panels as the shock over-pressure was increased. As the pressure load increased, the panel frequency decreased with the increased deflection until some panel cracking occurred. The panels tended in general to show decreased oscillation as they approached rupture or break-out under increased loads. See the Appendix to this report for other records.

Figures 5 through 10 show a variety of break-out patterns illustrated by the post-shot photographs. As the load approached the ultimate yield value, some cracking of the outer plies occurred (Figure 8). As the load reached the ultimate yield value, large break-out occurred (Figures 5 and 9). In some instances, almost all the panel was blown out (Figures 6 and 7) as the loading pressure was increased past its ultimate yield point (burst point).

²See company manual "Model 501 Optical Displacement Follower," OPTRON, Division of Univ. Tech., Inc., 30 Hazel Terrace, Woodbridge, Conn. 06525.






Figure 3. Pressure-Time Loading Records








Figure 4. Deflection-Time Records




(A) PRE-SHOT 24-78-30




(B) POST-SHOT 24-78-30

Figure 5. 1.27 cm A-D Interior Plywood - Loading Pressure
93.8 kPa (13.6 psi)




POST-SHOT 24-78-31

Figure 6. 1.27 cm A-D Interior Plywood - Loading Pressure
84.1 kPa (12.2 psi)




(A) PRE-SHOT 24-78-62



(B) POST-SHOT 24-78-62

Figure 7. 1.59 cm B-B Class 1 Exterior Plywood - Loading Pressure
170.3 kPa (24.7 psi)




(A) PRE-SHOT 24-78-50




(B) POST-SHOT 24-78-50

Figure 8. 1.90 cm A-C Exterior Plywood - Loading Pressure
109.6 kPa (15.9 psi)




POST-SHOT 24-78-60

Figure 9. 1.90 cm A C Interior Plywood Loading Pressure
122.1 kPa (17.7 psi)



(A) PRE-SHOT 24-78-51



(B) POST-SHOT 24-78-51

Figure 10. 1.90 cm A-C Exterior Plywood - Loading Pressure
162.5 kPa (22.1 psi)

Table I summarizes the shot conditions and panel damage as a function of blast load applied. One result that seemed to occur for all panels was that panels seemed to resist the increase in blast loading with only slight damage, some cracking of the plies, until the ultimate yield level of blast pressure was reached. The failure then was catastrophic. Large pieces of the panels were blown out. If the panels were being used as shelter closures, a debris hazard would exist at this point of exposure.

For the 1.27 cm panel (1/2 in.) tested, the panel breakup occurred at about 85.5 kPa (12.4 psi) reflected blast load. For the 1.59 cm panel (5/8 in.) the loading was 176.5 kPa (25.6 psi), for the 1.90 cm panel (3/4 in.) the loading was 137.9 kPa (20 psi), and for the 2.54 cm panel (1 in.) the loading was 337.8 kPa (49 psi). Notice that the 1.59 cm panel (5/8 in.) B-B class 1 exterior exceeded the strength of the 1.90 cm (3/4 in.) panel. One explanation might be that the B-B class 1 is a plywood intended for use as concrete forms with a high reuse factor intended. Also, the panels were free from knots, whereas the 1.90 cm panels contained many knots. Section IV, Analysis, contains the published specification for the plywood grades used to make up the panels.

B. High Speed Photographs

On some of the shots a high speed camera (5000 pps) was used to monitor the break-out of the panel under the blast load. Figures 11 and 12 illustrate two such shots. Initial splintering occurred as the outside plies were broken. As further cracking of the remaining plies took place, splinters, panel pieces, and in some cases, half-panels were torn loose. Debris was found in an impact area 25 m wide by about 100 m long.

A look at the average velocity centers of the panel's deflection under the blast load will give some indication of the potential debris problem. Table II lists some of these average velocities. Those measured from the option follower (OF) records were calculated by dividing the displacement of the first peak by the time to reach that peak. When the high speed photographs were used for the velocity calculation, the frame time from discernable motion to time of maximum forward center displacement was used. Average velocities for the small panel displacements were as small as 3 - 5 m/s. For near break-out conditions the average velocity was in the range of 20 - 25 m/s. A potential debris problem would exist here at the break-out point if the panels had been used for basement shelter closures.

Section IV, Analysis, will describe the calculations needed to arrive at the ultimate load (burst-pressure) for the plywood sheet closures. Only slight splintering would occur below the calculated burst point and no debris problem would be expected below that point.

Table I. Loading Data

Shot No.	Type Panel	Ambient Pressure kPa	Shock Overpressure kPa	Reflected Overpressure kPa	Panel Deflection cm.	Deflection Time ms	Panel Vibration Hz	Panel Damage	Remarks
24-78-19	1.27cm A-D	102.5	14.87	3.28	49.4	7.17	2.1	215	None
18	int, 5 plies	102.5	14.87	22.8	3.51	49.8	-	-	Small cracks
17	Group I	102.5	14.87	25.9	3.76	57.3	2.1	-	Cracks-1 ply
20		102.2	14.83	26.0	3.77	57.4	1.95	-	Cracks-2 plies
16		102.4	14.86	26.8	3.89	59.4	3.0	-	Cracks-1 ply
31		101.8	14.76	36.6	5.31	84.1	-	-	Blown apart
29		101.8	14.76	37.8	5.48	86.9	-	-	Broken-4 plies
30		101.8	14.76	40.4	5.86	93.8	-	-	Blown Apart
28		101.8	14.76	50.4	7.31	120.7	-	-	Blown to bits
63		102.7	14.89	50.9	7.38	122.0	-	-	90% out
24-78-22	1.59 cm	102.2	14.83	30.1	4.36	67.4	2.25	243	None
23	B-E class	101.8	14.76	30.4	4.41	68.3	1.95	267	None
21	1 Ext,	102.2	14.83	31.4	4.55	70.3	2.85	206	Small cracks
24	5 plies	101.8	14.76	33.0	4.78	74.5	1.80	261	None
25		101.6	14.76	45.5	6.60	107.6	1.95	-	Cracked-2 plies
26		101.8	14.76	58.3	8.46	143.4	1.20	-	Ply broke loose
62		102.7	14.89	67.6	9.8	170.3	-	-	80% out
27		101.8	14.76	68.9	10.0	174.4	1.50	292	Panel deformed
24-78-48	1.90 cm	102.6	14.88	41.0	5.95	95.1	1.80	350	None
50	A-C Ext,	102.7	14.89	46.3	6.72	109.6	-	235	Cracked-2 plies
60	5 plies	102.7	14.89	51.0	7.40	122.0	-	-	50% out
59	Group I	102.7	14.89	55.2	8.01	133.8	-	-	Blown to bits
52		102.5	14.87	57.6	8.35	140.7	1.50	-	Cracked-1 ply
51		102.7	14.89	61.5	8.92	152.4	2.25	-	Ring left
49		102.8	14.91	72.2	10.5	184.8	-	-	Blown to bits
24-78-53	2.54 cm	102.5	14.87	66.3	9.62	166.2	1.35	435	None
54	A-C Ext,	102.4	14.86	98.5	14.3	268.9	1.95	351	Cracked-1 ply
55	7 plies	102.4	14.85	105.7	15.3	292.3	3.3	-	Cracked-1 ply
56	Group I	102.3	14.84	110.7	16.1	311.6	3.0	-	Cracked-1 ply
65		102.5	14.86	117.5	17.0	333.0	-	-	Blown out
64		102.5	14.86	117.4	17.0	333.0	-	-	90% out
57		102.5	14.87	119.6	17.3	340.6	1.95	-	Blown out
58		102.7	14.89	119.3	17.3	340.6	-	-	Cracked-2 plies

SPOT 24-78-26




Figure 11. High Speed Photographs Shot 24-78-26

SHOT 24-78-26




Figure 11 (Cont'd). High Speed Photographs - Shot 24-78-26

SHOT 24-78-27




Figure 12. High Speed Photographs - Shot 24-78-27

SHOT 24-78-27




Figure 12 (Cont'd) High Speed Photographs - Shot 24-78-27

Table II. Average Panel Deflection Velocity
to Reach First Maximum

Shot No.	Type Panel	Reflected	Deflection	Time av. Velocity		Remarks
		Load kPa		ms	m/s	
24-78-19	1.27cm A-D	49.4	1.12	2.10	5.3	OF
20	Interior	57.4	0.50	1.95	3.3	OF
16	Group I	59.4	2.59	3.00	8.6	OF
17		57.3	2.12	2.46	8.6	HSC
24-78-22	1.59cm B-B	67.4	0.90	2.25	4.0	OF
23	Class 1	68.3	0.83	1.95	4.3	OF
21	Exterior	70.3	1.43	2.85	5.0	OF
24		74.5	0.83	1.80	4.6	OF
25		107.6	1.09	1.95	5.6	OF
26		143.4	3.70	2.93	12.5	HSC
27		174.4	3.18	1.87	17.0	HSC
24-78-48	1.90cm A-C	95.1	1.56	1.80	8.7	OF
52	Exterior	140.7	0.90	1.50	6.0	OF
51	Group I	152.4	1.99	2.25	8.8	OF
49		184.8	2.09	0.82	25.5	HSC
24-78-53		166.2	0.63	1.35	4.7	OF
54		268.9	1.39	1.95	7.1	OF
55		292.3	1.96	3.30	5.9	OF
56		311.6	1.89	3.00	6.3	CF
57		340.6	>2.29	1.95	>11.7	OF

NOTE: (1) OF means data from optron follower records.
(2) HSC means data from high speed photographs.

IV. ANALYSIS

A prediction method proposed by H. L. Murphy (Reference 1) was used to arrive at the expected loads needed to cause ultimate failure (break-through) of plywood sheet closures. This method is outlined briefly below.

Plywood weight is ignored as dead load, single spans are used with uniform loads, and simple supports are assumed. The equations listed have no hidden units in the constants.

For uniform loads based on allowable bending stress:

$$P_b = 8 F_b S / \ell^2, \quad (1)$$

where

P_b = allowable load - bending moment (kPa),

F_b = allowable bending stress (kPa),

S or K_s = effective section modulus (cm^3/cm width), and

ℓ = clear span (cm).

For uniform loads based on allowable rolling shear stress:

$$P_{st} = 2 F_s (Ib/Q) / \ell, \quad (2)$$

where

P_{st} = allowable load-rolling shear stress (kPa),

F_s = allowable rolling shear stress (kPa),

(Ib/Q) = rolling shear constant (cm^2/cm width), and

ℓ = clear span (cm).

The useful allowable load P_m becomes:

$$P_m = P_b \text{ or } P_{st}, \text{ whichever is smaller (kPa)} \quad (3)$$

For the present test panels $P_m = P_b$.

For bending deflection (elastic) under uniform load:

$$Y_b = P_m \ell^4 / (76.8 I (1.1 E)), \quad (4)$$

where

Y_b = bending deflection (elastic) under uniform load (cm),

I = effective moment of inertia ($\text{cm}^4/\text{cm width}$),

E = modulus of elasticity (kPa), and

l = clear span (cm).

For shear deflection (elastic) under uniform load:

$$Y_s = P_m C t^2 l^2 / (106 E I), \quad (5)$$

where

Y_s = shear deflection (elastic) under uniform load (cm),

C = 120 or 60, for panels applied with face grain perpendicular to or parallel to supports, respectively, and

t = nominal panel thickness (cm).

For combined bending and shear deflection (elastic) either add Y_b and Y_s from Equations 4 and 5 or use Equation 4 only with the constant 1.1 dropped from the equation.

For the plywood bearing face under uniform load (ends over simple supports):

$$l_o = l / (2 ((F_{cl} / P_m) - 1)), \quad (6)$$

where

l_o = required plywood end bearing length at each end of panel (cm), and

F_{cl} = allowable bearing stress on the plywood face, for load perpendicular to plane of outer ply actually in bearing (kPa).

Reference 1 recommended that l_o be at least 3.8 cm; this recommendation was followed for the plywood panels just tested.

Ultimate yields for the panels were calculated by using values furnished in Reference 3 of materials strength parameters to give the

³"Plywood Design Specifications," American Plywood Association, P.O. Box 2277, Tacoma, Wash. 98401, December 1976.

allowable loads. The allowable loads for panels with two ends supported were corrected to panels supported on four sides. This was done by reducing the value of $P_m = P_b$ associated with the larger Y_b by multiplying by the ratio of the smaller Y_b to larger Y_b . The total capacity, P_m total, equals the sum of the reduced value plus the unchanged P_b associated with the smaller Y_b . These values were then multiplied by a factor of four as suggested by Murphy¹ to give the expected blast load to cause ultimate panel failure. Table III lists these expected values.

A study of the table shows the experimental values of loads needed to fail the panels still exceeded the four times the allowable loads suggested by Murphy¹. The actual loads measured exceeded predictions by factors ranging from 1.4 to 3.0. This in addition to the four times factor which had been included in the predictions already. Therefore, the blast loads expected to cause ultimate failure of the plywood sheet panels tested were about eight times the allowable static loads calculated by the handbook methods.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Defense Civil Preparedness Agency, as a part of its program to upgrade existing shelters, has had a design manual (Reference 1) prepared which describes and lists pre-designed plywood sheet closures. The closures are designed to be used for basement shelter openings, windows, for example. The intent was to allow home and commercial basements to be upgraded to resist increased levels of blast pressure over the basements present ability to protect the sheltercees.

The design procedure followed was to take the handbook characteristics (Reference 3) and equations to calculate the allowable static loads for a number of commercially available plywood sheets. A dynamic factor of four was applied to the static values calculated to arrive at the ultimate strength of various plywood panels under blast loading. The present work was initiated to try to verify the range of ultimate strength values arrived at in the design manual.

Accordingly, a set of plywood sheet panels were exposed (exposed area $39.37 \times 39.37 \text{ cm}^2$) to a reflected shock overpressure loading at the end of the BRL 57 cm shock tube. Panels of various thickness (1.27, 1.59, 1.90, and 2.54 cm) were loaded to bursting, while simply supported on all four sides. Input shock pressure and center-point panel deflection were monitored during the shot series. High speed photography was used during part of the shot series to observe the breaking sequence.

Loading data - pressure load, panel deflection, and panel vibration frequency - were found for the test panels. Bursting loads were found to vary from about 85.5 kPa (12.4 psi) for 1.27 cm (1/2 in.) thickness to 338 kPa (49 psi) for the 2.54 cm (1 in.) panels. Vibration frequencies varied between 200 to 350 Hz for the test panels.

Table III. Properties for Plywood with Predicted Values of Ultimate Yield for Applied Blast Loads

Panel Type	Grade Stress Level	F _s kPa	F _b kPa	E kPa	Parallel			Perpendicular			L cm
					I cm ⁴ /cm	S cm ³ /cm	(Ib/Q) cm ² /cm	I cm ⁴ /cm	S cm ³ /cm	(Ib/Q) cm ² /cm	
1.27 cm A-D Interior Group 1	S-3 Dry	330.9	11,376	12.4x10 ⁶	0.105	0.143	1.023	0.024	0.080	0.655	39.37
1.59 cm B-B Class 1 Exterior	S-2 Dry	365.4	11,376	12.4x10 ⁶	0.176	0.190	1.331	0.061	0.125	0.830	39.37
1.90 cm A-C Exterior Group 1	S-1 Dry	365.4	13,789	12.4x10 ⁶	0.269	0.243	1.688	0.126	0.208	1.033	39.37
2.54 cm A-C Exterior Group 1	S-1 Dry	395.4	13,789	12.4x10 ⁶	0.577	0.392	1.880	0.345	0.399	1.617	39.37

Table III (Cont'd) Properties for Plywood with Predicted Values of Ultimate Yield for Applied Blast Loads

Panel Type	Allowable Loads		Bending Deflection		Total Allowable Load P_m total, kPa	Ultimate Yield, kPa		Remarks
	Parallel P_b , kPa	Perpendicular P_b , kPa	Parallel Y_b , cm	Perpendicular Y_b , cm		Predicted*	Experiment	
1.27 cm A-D Interior Group 1	8.40	4.70	0.20	0.50	10.28	41.1	85.5	Rolling shear stress loads, P_{st} , are not shown since they are larger than bending stress load, P_b , for these panels
1.59 cm B-B Class 1 Exterior	11.16	7.34	0.16	0.31	14.95	59.9	176.5	
1.90 cm A-C Exterior Group 1	17.29	14.80	0.16	0.30	25.18	100.7	137.9	Panels were 39.37 cm x 39.37 cm simply supported on four sides with 3.8 cm bearing face all around.
2.54 cm A-C Exterior Group 1	27.90	28.40	0.12	0.21	44.12	176.5	337.8	

* Predicted values were calculated by the methods of Reference 1.

The results from the tests showed the burst pressures to exceed the calculated ultimate strength values in the closure design manual by 1.4 to 3.0 times. Ultimate yield values for blast protections (bursting loads, should probably be listed about eight times the allowable static loads calculated.

Interestingly, almost no damage occurred to a test panel for loads just below the bursting pressure. For pressures somewhat above the burst level, the panel would nearly always blow out. A half-panel to perhaps a 10% ring might be left of the panel in the panel holder. At loads much higher than burst pressure, it was difficult to find recognizable pieces of the panel in the impact area.

A study of deflection-time records and high speed photographs gave some insight into the possible debris hazard caused by the rupturing panels. Average center line deflection velocities (for maximum non-burst deflection) were measured from about 3 or 5 m/sec to perhaps 20 - 25 m/s for bursting panels. Additionally, debris from the broken test panels was found spread over an area 25 m wide by perhaps a 100 m long. Sizes of debris varied from splinters to half-panels. In general, in spite of a possible debris problem at the burst point, simple plywood sheet panels should work well as small closures for the windows of basement shelters to upgrade the level of blast protection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Messrs. Kenneth Holbrook and Vincent King for the careful experimental work performed at the BRL Shock Tube Facility.

APPENDIX

PRESSURE-TIME AND DEFLECTION-TIME TRACES

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK





Figure A-1. Records for 1.27 cm Panels





Figure A-1 (Cont). Records for 1.27 cm Panels





Figure A-1 (Cont). Records for 1.27 cm Panels




Figure A-1 (Cont). Records for 1.27 cm Panels





Figure A-2. Records for 1.59 cm Panels





Figure A-2 (Cont). Records for 1.59 cm Panels





Figure A-2 (Cont). Records for 1.59 cm Panels





Figure A-2 (Cont). Records for 1.59 cm Panels





Figure A-2 (Cont). Records for 1.59 cm Panels





Figure A-2 (Cont). Records for 1.59 cm Panels





Figure A-2 (Cont). Records for 1.59 cm Panels





Figure A-3. Records for 1.90 cm Panels





Figure A-3 (Cont). Records for 1.90 cm Panels





Figure A-3 (Cont). Records for 1.90 cm Panels





Figure A-3 (Cont). Records for 1.90 cm Panels





Figure A-4. Records for 2.54 cm Panels





Figure A-4 (Cont). Records for 2.54 cm Panels





Figure A-4 (Cont). Records for 2.54 cm Panels





Figure A-4 (Cont). Records for 2.54 cm Panels





Figure A-4 (Cont). Records for 2.54 cm Panels





Figure A-4 (Cont). Records for 2.54 cm Panels





Figure A-4 (Cont). Records for 2.54 cm Panels

LIST OF SYMBOLS

C	constant, no units
E	Modulus of elasticity, kPa
F_b	Allowable bending stress, kPa
F_{cl}	Allowable bearing stress-load perpendicular to plane of outer ply actually in bearing, kPa
F_s	Allowable rolling shear stress, kPa
I	Effective moment of inertia, cm^4/cm width
(Ib/Q)	Rolling shear constant, cm^2/cm width
ℓ	Clear span, cm
ℓ_e	Required end bearing length, cm
P_b	Allowable load-bending moment, kPa
P_m	Useful allowable load, kPa
$P_{m \text{ total}}$	Total allowable load-supported four sides, kPa
pps	Pictures per record
P_{st}	Allowable load-rolling shear stress, kPa
S or KS	Effective section modulus, cm^3/cm width
t	Nominal panel thickness, cm
Y_b	Bending deflection (elastic) under uniform load, cm
Y_s	Shear deflection (elastic) under uniform load, cm

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
12	Commander Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	1	Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035
1	Defense Intelligence Agency ATTN: Mr. C. Wiehle Washington, DC 20301	1	Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
2	Director Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: SPSS (Mr. T. Kennedy) STAP (APTL, Library) Washington, DC 20305	1	Commander US Army Communications Rsch and Development Command ATTN: DRDCO-PPA-SA Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
50	Defense Civil Preparedness Agency Research and Engineering ATTN: Admin Office Washington, DC 20301	2	Commander US Army Missile Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDMI-R DRDMI-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
2	Defense Civil Preparedness Agency ATTN: RF(HV), Mr Sisson PO(SE) Washington, DC 20310	1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Research & Development Command ATTN: DRDTA-UL Warren, MI 48090
1	Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: DRCDMD-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1	Commander US Army Mobility Equipment Research & Development Cmd ATTN: DRDME-WC Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
1	Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSAR-E 12th and Spruce Streets St. Louis, MO 63166	1	Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L, Tech Lib Rock Island, IL 61299

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
2	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TSS (2 cys) Dover, NJ 07801	1	Office of the Chief of Engineers ATTN: Mr. Tommasoni Department of the Army Washington, DC 20314
1	Commander US Army Harry Diamond Labs ATTN: DRXDO-TI 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783	1	Chief of Engineers ATTN: ENGME-RD Department of the Army Washington, DC 20314
1	Commander US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: DRXMR-ATL Watertown, MA 02172	2	Commander US Army Engineer Waterways Experiments Station ATTN: Docu Lib Nucl Wpns Eff Br P.O. Box 631 Vicksburg, MS 39180
1	Commander US Army Natick Research and Development Command ATTN: Dr. D. Sieling, DRXRE Natick, MA 01762	3	Commander Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-604 Washington, DC 20360
1	Director DARCOM Field Safety Activity ATTN: DRXOS-ES Charlestown, IN 47111	1	Commander Naval Facilities Eng Command ATTN: R&D Code 0322C Washington, DC 20390
1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL, Tech Lib White Sands Missile Range NM 88002	3	Commander Naval Ordnance Systems Cmd ATTN: ORD-0632 ORD-035 ORD-5524 Washington, DC 20390
1	Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D) ATTN: Asst for Rsch Washington, DC 20310	3	Commander Naval Supply Systems Command ATTN: Code 0652 Washington, DC 20390
1	HQDA (DAEN, ENGME-RD) Washington, DC 20310		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Commander Naval Supply Systems Command ATTN: Code 0421G Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20390	2	Headquarters US Energy Research and Development Administration Depart of Military Applications Div of Biology & Medicine ATTN: Civil Eff Br Mr. L. J. Deal Dr. Rudolf J. Engelmann Washington, DC 20545
1	Chief of Naval Personnel ATTN: Code Pers M12 Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20360	1	Oak Ridge National Laboratory Civil Defense Research Project ATTN: Librarian P.O. Box X Oak Ridge, TN 37830
1	Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20360	1	Office of Federal Building Tech Center for Building Technology ATTN: Mr. Samuel Karmer, Ch National Bureau of Standards Washington, DC 20234
1	Commander Naval Civil Engineering Lab ATTN: Document Library Port Hueneme, CA 93401	1	National Bureau of Standards ATTN: Dr. Lewis V. Spencer RM C313-Building 245 Washington, DC 20234
1	AFWL (SUL) Kirtland AFB, NM 87117	1	Agbabian Associates 250 North Nash Street El Segundo, CA 90245
1	AFWL (Civil Eng Div) Kirtland AFB, NM 87117	3	Bell Telephone Laboratories ATTN: Mr. E. Witt Mr. R. Mayo Mr. J. Foss Whippany Road Whippany, NJ 07981
1	AFWL (Tech Library) Kirtland AFB, NM 87117	1	Center for Planning and Research, Inc. 2483 East Bayshore- Suite 104 Palo Alto, CA 94304
1	Civil Engineering Center AF/PRECET Tyndall AFB, FL 32401		
1	Civil Engineering Center AF/PRECE Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	The Dikewood Corporation University Research Park 1009 Bradbury Drive, SE Albuquerque, NM 87106	1	R&D Associates ATTN: Dr. Henry Cooper 1401 Wilson Blvd. Rosslyn, VA 22209
1	Eberle Smith Associates, Inc. ATTN: Mr. Lyndon Welch 950 West Fort Street Detroit, MI 48226	2	Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Dir 5412, L. Vortman Dept 5230, Dr. Mehl Albuquerque, NM 87115
1	General American Transporta- tion Corporation General American Research Div 7449 North Natchez Avenue Niles, IL 60648	1	Scientific Services, Inc. ATTN: Mr. Charles Wilton 1536 Maple St Redwood City, CA 94063
1	Higgins, Auld & Associates Engineers ATTN: Cornelius J. Higgins 2601 Wyoming Blvd., Suite H-1 Albuquerque, NM 87112	3	SRI International ATTN: Dr. S. Martin Dr. H. Murphy J. Remple 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025
1	Management Science Associates P.O. Box 239 ATTN: Kenneth Kaplan Los Altos, CA 94022	1	Wiss, Janney, Elstner & Assoc. ATTN: Mr. J. R. Janney 330 Pfinsten Road Northbrook, IL 60062
1	Oklahoma Medical Resch Foundation ATTN: Dr. S. White Oklahoma City, OK 73104	1	George Washington University School of Engineering and Applied Science Nuclear Defense Design Center ATTN: Prof. R. Fox Washington, DC 20006
1	Pacific-Sierra Research Corp. ATTN: Harold L. Brode 1456 Cloverfield Blvd., Santa Monica, CA 90904	2	IIT Research Institute ATTN: Mr. A. Longinow Mr. T. Waterman 10 West 35th Street Chicago, IL 60616
2	The Rand Corporation ATTN: Dr. H. Brode Document Library 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, CA 90401	1	Pennsylvania State University ATTN: Prof. R. Kummer 101 Eng A University Park, PA 16802

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Purdue University Purdue Research Foundation School of Civil Engineering ATTN: Mr. M. Scott Civil Engineering Building Lafayette, IN 47907	1	University of Massachusetts School of Engineering ATTN: Dr. M. P. White Amherst, MA 01002
1	Purdue University Nuclear Engineering Dept ATTN: Prof. Robert Bailly Duncan Annex Lafayette, IN 47907	1	University of Washington Dept of Civil Engineering ATTN: Prof. W. Miller 307 More Hall Seattle, WA 98105
2	Research Triangle Institute ATTN: Edward L. Hill Milton D. Wright P.O. Box 12194 Research Triangle Park NC 27709		<u>Aberdeen Proving Ground</u> Dir, AMSAA ATTN: DRXSY, Dr. Sperrazza DRXSY-MP, H. Cohen Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: DRSTE-TO-F Dir, Wpns Sys Concepts Team, Bldg. E3516, EA ATTN: DRDAR-ACW
1	San Jose State College Dept of Civil Engineering ATTN: Dr. R. Spicker San Jose, CA 95114		
1	Worcester Polytechnic Inst Dept of Civil Engineering ATTN: Prof. C. Koontz Worcester, MA 01609		
1	University of Colorado School of Architecture ATTN: Prof. G. Vetter Boulder, CO 80302		
1	University of Florida Dept of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Prof. J. Samuel Gainesville, FL 32601		
1	University of Illinois ATTN: Dr. W. Hall 111 Talbot Laboratory Urbana, IL 61803		